G. R. S. 59]

P 24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

190
(Police)

File No. 59 – 10

Serial Nos. 1 – 6

Papers Filed.

Month May 1912

SUBJECT.

ac by the Brahmins of Sankeshwar
inst the orders of the Asst: Magistrate of
naga permitting the Lingayats to set up
Kama and take it in procession –

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
P & Rev: 73 – 10 / 1-2/7 Pol 1 – 08, SC 273, 313, 328 446 & 448, 448A, 458 458A, 459, 463 & 466	

C.H.Warren
27/5/12

G.R.S. 11] 1910.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police.)

NOTES.

File No. 59-10

FILE HEADING.

Appeal by the Brahmins of Saulebennur against the order of the Dt. Magte. of the Shimoga Dt. permitting the Linga yats to set up Rama & take it in procession

From

Subamachar & others of Saulebennur

No. . . Dated 14-4-10 Received 19-9-10

Diary No. 7774	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies. --	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the order of the Dt. Magte. Shimoga permitting the Lingayats of the place to set up Rama & take it in procession.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Dewan's order:—

1 "To the Dy. Comr., Shimoga Dt., for disposal or report if necessary. Send for 2nd councillor's perusal the recent file connected with the retirement of the Amildar, if

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 59 of 1910-11

Serial No.	
—	That file contains papers ... same subject. (Subo.) T.A.R. 16.9.10
	L. R. file no 73-10 (also 1 & 2) to put up. The original papers have all been returned to the Rev. Comr.
	3) Subo. with two drafts. B.S. 16.9.10 SN 16.9.10
	4) Subd to 2nd C SB 27/9/10
536.	5) As this is an appeal against the Dy C's order, it is better to call for a report first, I think. 28/9/10
	6) Draft not'n as revised SB 7/9/10.
	7) 30/9
2	To The Dt. Magte. Shimoga. no. T1156 B/3.10.10. Calls for a report.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 57 of 1911

Serial No.	
3	To The Petitioners re. T 1170 d/ 5-10-10. Intimating the above. From Subbramachar. Pet. d/ 6-2-11 A reminder. 8) Subd with a draft docket to the D. Magte. Shimoga Dt. BG. 9-2-11 SN 10/2 Sus 13/2/11 9) Issue 2d 11/2/11 Reminder received re d/ 23-00 d/ 15-2-11
4.	From the District Magistrate Shimoga No 270/42 dated 23-2-1911. Forwards the report called for in 8. 2, with the records connected with the case. 10) The Sirsayado of Sankeshwara applied for the first time in 1909 for a license to keep Kana and take it in procession. In accordance with the ~~orders~~ spirit of the orders contained in G.P. no. 2017-26-T.F. 158-4 d/ 25-1-05 and in spite of the objections raised by the Brahmins, the Amildar magte. granted the application on certain conditions

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 59 of 1910-11

Serial No.

calculated to prevent a breach of the peace between the two communities. The Linyayats, however, in contravention of one of the conditions of the license, set up their Kama within a furlong of the Brahmin Kama. The police consequently seized their Kama & kept it in their custody in the State. Thereupon the Linyayats represented to the Amildar that this condition, if insisted upon would not allow them to keep their Kama anywhere within the town and got the distance reduced to half a furlong. Next year the Brahmins petitioned the Dt. Magte. not to permit the Linyats to set up Kama and to take it in procession. But that officer declines to interfere with the discretion of the Amildar Magte. The petitioners have now appealed against this order.

B.G. 6/1/263-11.

Subd. for orders.

SV(11)

There seems to be no use in making further inquiries. The papers may perhaps be filed. The Amildar has been reminded.

-Contn. sheet.

Sec'y

GPB—60,000—12-8-10

25/8/11

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

(Police) File No. 5-G of 1911 11

5

Serial No.

12) We have heard nothing about this dispute at Sauleberum in connection with the recent Holi festival. Perhaps the retirement of the amildar has led to a cessation of hostilities. The papers may be filed until we hear again from the peons

(1st C.)

C.S.S. 4/4/11

4/4/11

13) Nil

11

14) File this body

The order in the case below

8/4/11

The disappearance of the Police records is a great scandal. The Collector should pursue the matter further and not let it slide. It should be possible to obtain answers to the queries put by the Dewan.

Initd. K.P.P. 8.4.11

11/4

(Police 1-08)

15) This file was linked with Police 1-08 & was received back from the Dewans office on 26-3-12.

P.T.O.

10/4/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 57 of 19 10-11

Serial No.

16) The records received from the Dt. Magte., Shimoga, have to be returned before doing this another petition (a reminder) received from the Brahmins of Saulebennur may be disposed of.

5 From Subbamanchar & other Brahmins of Saulebennur. Pet. dy 1-3-12
A reminder to sl. no. 1.
17) Subo. for orders.

B.G.
(57) 31.3.12.
SN (11)
6/4/12

6/4/12

18) Subo. The facts of the case have not been stated in para 10 above. May notice be issued to the parties?

B.G.
(57) 19.4.12. SN (11)

19 This year Rama prosession is also over. ~~Postpone~~ Perhaps it is best to file the papers.

2/5/12

20 2nd cy. Submitted to Hon'ble

21 S/5/12 in file 7/5/12

X

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

eneral and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 57 of 1910-11

Serial No.	
	22 Subd. with a re- ing the records. B.G. 9/7.5.12 SN 8/5/12
7 15/5/12.	23 Subd 9/5/12
8. 6	To the Dt. Magte. Shimoga. no. J3366 dt/14.5.12 Returns the records.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GDB—20,000—20-1-12

1910.

Name of Petitioner, Subbanna [illegible] & others

Village, Sante Benn[illegible]

Taluk, Chennagiri

District, Shimoga

Date of Arzi, 14th April

Date of Receipt, 17th do

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 1

ABSTRAC

[illegible] Appeal
the order of the
District Magistrate
of Shimoga in the
matter of the issue
of a license to the
Lingayats to set
up a Kanna at the
place of Petitioners
are brahmins of
Sante benn[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Commissioner
[illegible] consider
the Appeal, & that
the Original papers in
this subject /

To The Deputy Commr.
Shemoga Dtt. for disposal
or report if necessary.

[illegible]
Veerapur
[illegible]
17-9-10



JTT
File no. 54-10
Sl. no. 1

Received on
Court No 14
19. 4. 10

ಮೆಹರಬಾನ್ ಪ್ರೇಮೂಲ್ ಕಳ್ಳೆಂಟುಪಂನಿದ್ದನಂಗಳಿಗೆ—

ಅಜ್ಜಿದಕರ್ತರುಗಳು ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಸಮಬ್ಬಂಸೋಜ್ಞಾ ಮತ್ತು ನೆಟಿಕರಂಗಾವುನರ್ಗೆಯು

ಇತ-ಂನಸಿರಿಕಲ್ಲುಕುನಂಕೆಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ವಾಸಿಗಳು—

ಅಜ್ಜಿದಾರರುಗಳು ನಂನಿಬ್ಬಿರುಲ್ಲಾ ಆರಿಕೆವಲಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವಕ್ಕೇನಂಗ

ಇತ-ಂನಸಿರಿಕಲ್ಲುಕುಕಂಕೆಬೆಂಗಳೂರುಲಂಗಳ್ಳುರುಕದರರು ಕೆಲುತೆರಿನಿಗ

ಯೂವಕಲೂಳರುಂನಯಿಪ್ಪುರಲಲ್ಲಾ ಸದ್ದ 1909ನೇಯುಂಡಿವಂಜಿದ್ದಂಗಳ್ಳಿನ್ನ

ವಲಂನಯಿಪ್ಪುಕೊಂಡು ಮೇಕರನ್ನಸಿವಡಿಸಿಕೆವಲಿ ದಕ್ಷನವಗಳಲಿ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡ

ಬೈಸಕಲಂಗಳಯರರು ಕೆಲುಂನಂವಿಳಿಪ್ಪನ್ನೆ ಬಿಲರವರಲ್ಲ ಅಜ್ಜಿದಕೊಟ್ಟಿರ್ದರಮ

ಆ ಕಾಲ್ಲುಕುನಿಳಿಪ್ಪಾಲೈಸೆನ್ಸುದಾರರವರು ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರುಶವುಂನ ಹೂಡಿಪುವಕ್ಷಸ್ಥಳಿನಂ

ದುಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕಾಂಸಿಕಂಯಿಯಲ್ಲದೆಯುರುವಜಾಸಾಧುಲ್ಲಕಮಂನಳೂಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ

ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣಕಶವುನ ಪಡುಕರವಕ್ಕು ಮೇಕರನ್ನಸಿ, ದಕ್ಷನವಲೈಸೆಲಾದವಂಕರಲಂಗ

ಯುಜನಗಳ್ಳುಸಿರವನ್ನಸಿವಡ್ಡಿಸಿಲುನ್ನೆವಗಳಂನು ನಡೆಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೈಸಕಿಕೊಲ್ಲೇ

ಟು ಪ್ರೊಬಿಡಿಂಸ್ಗೆ ನಂಬಾ 2017-26 ಜೆ. ಯಸ್ಸೆ 158-4.02-03ನೇ ಕ

1905ನೇಜನವರಿ 25ರವೆಗೂಲೈಸೆನ್ಸುದಕ್ಷಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರು.

(2) ನದರಿಲ್ಲ ಕ್ಷಕ್ಷಿಯುಆಸಾಧರದಮೆಳಿಲಂಗಳ್ಳುರು ಮೂಲಕಿಗಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಂದುನೈಕ್ಷಿಂಸಿ

ದೂಡಿಲ್ಲಕೆವಂಸಕೆಸಿಡಿಸುವದುಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರುಶಮಂನಳಾಡಿಸುನಜ

ಕಕ್ಕೆ ಸುಮೂರು ಅದ್ದಳಿಕ್ಷಿಂಯು ಆಸಬಹುದಾದ ಕಂಯಿಯೂದಸ್ತಳಿದಲ್ಲಿಮಂ

ಕೊಂಡಿಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರಲುಸ್ಸೇವೆಕ್ಕೆ ಅಭ್ಯಂತರಪಡಿಸಿದರು

ಶಿವಾಜಿನಗರದಜನಗಳ್ಳು ನೆಡಸಿದಪ್ರತಿಭಟನೆಯುಲಿ ಕ್ಷದುಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ವಿಶೇಷವಾದ್ದ

ರಿಂದ ಪ್ರೇಲೀಬಿಸರವರು ಈಬಕ್ಷಿ ಸುಮಜರುನಡೆಸಿಕೊಡಿಸಿ ಆಜನಗಳ್ಳುಯಿಪ್ಪು

ಸಾವುನಕೆಂಬೆ ಯಂಗುಶಿಸಿದುಕೊಂಡು ಪ್ರೇಷ್ಕನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಿಪ್ಪುಸುಯಿಂದೆಯಾವ

ರೀತಿಸಾತಕಬೈಕೆಂಬುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲ್ಲುಕುಮೇಲಜಪ್ರೇಲೀಬಿಸರವರಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟುಸ

ಒಕ್ಕಲಿಗರು ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ಜಾತಿಯವರ ಮಧ್ಯೆ 1910ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಂಟಾದ ಜಗಳದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಂಗಾಯತರು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಕೆಲವು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣೇತರ ಜಾತಿಗಳವರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಗಳು. ಇವೇ ಪ್ರಚ್ಛನ್ನಮೂಲಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಅರಿಕೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಷಯಗಳು ಇವೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ —

(ಅ) ಕಲ್ಲುಕುಟ್ಟುವ ಜಾತಿಯವರು ಮತ್ತು ಚಮ್ಮಾರರು ಮುಂತಾದವರು ಜಿನಸಭೆಗೆ ಕಾಮಧೇನುವಿನಂತೆ ಆಶ್ರಯಕೊಟ್ಟು ಪೋಷಿಸಿದ್ದು ಇವರಿಂದಲೇ ಆಗಿರುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಮುಂತಾದವುಗಳನ್ನು ಇವರು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ —

(ಆ) ತಿಪ್ಪಿಕೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು ಜಿನಸ್ತ್ರೀಪುರುಷರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಉಪಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯಾಗಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಬಿರುದುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಲ್ಲದೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಜಿನಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಥಮ ಪೂಜೆಯ ಹಕ್ಕು ಮತ್ತು ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆಯ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ - ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರು ಈ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಚ್ಛನ್ನಮೂಲಕರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಎಂಬ ಪೂರ್ವಾಗ್ರಹದಿಂದ ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ —

(ಇ) ಕಾವುಂಡಯ್ಯನಿಟ್ಟು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಪೂಜಾ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೂಡುಬಿದಿರೆಯ ಲಿಂಗಾಯತರು ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಅಡ್ಡಿಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಪೋಲೀಸರು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಮತ್ತು ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಇವೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ —

(ಈ) ಅವರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿನಸ್ತ್ರೀಪುರುಷರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸೇವೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ — ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದ್ದ ಬಿರುದುಗಳನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆಯ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ — ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದ್ದ ಬಿರುದುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆಯ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ —

(ಉ) ಅವರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿನಸ್ತ್ರೀಪುರುಷರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸೇವೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ — ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದ್ದ ಬಿರುದುಗಳನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆಯ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ —

In the Court of the District Magistrate
Shimoga.

The 21st March 1910

Present. M. Narain Rao Esquire
District Magistrate

Criminal Misc application no: 42 of 1908-09

Petitioner - Subbanna Char and other
Brahmin inhabitants of
Sankebennur in Chennagiri
Taluk.

Order.

This application is virtually an appeal from the order of the Amildar Magistrate of Chennagiri dated 5th March 1909. permitting the Lingayats of Sankebennur to set up a Kamba and take it in procession on certain conditions - one of which was to the effect that the place where

the Kama . Let up
Should be istance of a
furlong from the Pandal where
the Kama of the Brahmins Comm
was usually kept. This condition
was modified by an order of the
6th March 09 reducing the
distance between the Kama
Pandal of the Brahmin and
Lingayat communities to half
a furlong.

The Kama festivities took
place on the 6th March 1909 and
the present application was
presented on the 10th idem, that
is four days after the processio
was conducted. Any orders passed
in appeal can therefore take
effect only from the year 1910.

First of all, the Brahmins
contend that the Lingayats are
not entitled to set up a Kama
and take it in procession. The
license granted by the Amildar
Magistrate on the 5th March 1909
recites the fact that the Lingayats
have not produced any documen

to prove that they have been setting up a Kama image and conducting it in procession annually, but — according to the principles laid down in Government Proceedings No. 2017-26 J.F. 158-4-1902-03 dated 25th January 1905, it is clear that the Lingayat or any other Community has the right to keep and worship Kama if it is in accordance with their creeds. The order of the Amildar Magistrate permitting the Lingayats of Sankeshwar to set up a Kama for the first time last year cannot be said to be quite out of the way. So long as it does not interfere with the free Exercise of the rights of the Brahmin Community in this behalf. It is unreasonable for the latter Community to ask that the Lingayats should be absolutely prevented from worshipping Kama or conducting it in procession.

Next, it is contended that the Lingayat Kama has been set-up in a place only 150 yards distant from the Brahmin Kama Pandal. From the records however it is seen that the distance between the two pandals is 118

yards or a little over half a fur
on the representation of the Ling-
that the distance of one furlon
prescribed in the original lice
of 5th March 1909 would take the
to a spot where there were no h
it was reduced to half a furl
I see no reason to interfere w
the discretion of the Collector
in this matter, especially as the
not a single Brahmin house
the street in which the Lingay
Kama was set up.

5. The route of the Lingayat Ka
procession is prescribed in the
The Lingayat Kama is not to
taken in procession in the Bra
street. On this score the Bra
have no reasonable ground
complaint.

6. The license also prescrib
the procession and the cremat
the Lingayat Kama should
place, after those of the Brah
Ka The interests of public peace
are sufficiently safe guarded by
this arrangement.

7. The records show that the Lingayats evince an inclination to anticipate modification of orders. This should be properly guarded against. They set up their Kama within a furlong of the Brahmin Kama Pandal, contrary to the terms of the license of 5th March 09, before the distance was reduced to half a furlong on the 6th idem. Again they burnt their Kama before the Brahmins had disposed of theirs. These violations of the terms of the License should not have been allowed to be perpetrated with impunity. The Amildar Magistrate will take note of these points and see that the processions &c in the Current year are conducted in strict accordance with the Conditions of the License.

Sd. Dr. Narain Rao
Dist- Magistrate
Shimoga

21.3.10.



True Copy. Boyadan.
Asst. Commissioner
Dist- Magts Court
Shimoga

P.T.O

Date of copy applied for by V. Ramayya Rao and others. } 29.3.60

.. Copy ready. 5.4.60.

.. Copy delivered. 5.4.60.

No. of words. 703

Court. 0-12-0

Comptg. 0-2-6

0-14-8.

File no. 59-10
Sl. no 2

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. J 1156 Encl 2

Accompanying Petition Registered

1779 dated 16.12.1910.

Received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Sri Lakshmana alias Venkatarama alias of Sankaranarayana appealing against the order of the Dt. Magte, Shimoga permitting the Linganyaks to set up Rama and take it in procession

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Dt. Magte of the Shimoga Dt. for

By Order,

For Secretary

BANGALORE,
21/12/1910.

P. T. O

J 1170 dt 5/10/10.

ತಹಶೀಲ್ದಾರ್ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ಹೋಬಳಿ ..... ಹೋಬಳಿ

..... ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

..... ಇವರ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮುಂಬಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ~~.....~~ .....

..... ಇವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

.....

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

for Secretary.

15.9.
26.9.10.

46

File no. 57-10

మైసూరు గవర్నమెంట్ జనరల్ మహాశివిస్సూ
రుటెరియవర జనాభిగి

చన్నగిరికొల్లూరు సంకేచినూరువాసి గళు జోయస్ సుబ్బణాణార్
వస్కేరు బరిసిరుండ అజ్జి

కె 6-2-1911 నేయిసిరు

ఇదేనంకేచినూరు గ్రామదకామనవిషయద్ద

శివమొగ్గదిషిట్రిక్టు దెప్టిక్కమిషనరోనాబిబరవరుమాండిదఆర్డరిన
మేలెకె 16-4-1910 ర్లల్లి సన్నిధానదల్లి లంక్షిల్ మాడిసిరుండిద్దరు మేలే
నడరిజిల్లెయన్నురిమోటమ్మ బిచ్చిటిప్ట్రిక్టు డికా. కొరవర్లగె
రాంకిరువుదాగె సన్నిధానదిందలక్ష్మణాయం గెక్కెడబ్బవు అంద
శెక్కామనరబ్బవు సమీపినిబంకుఆరదలందసన్నిధానదల్లరక్
మాండికొళ్ళువుదేసంద్రసన్నిధానదరుంబురుంబురశేమాండికొ
ళ్ళకెక్క అంశగళు అనేకజిరువగరిందజాగ్రశేయిందదీరంగునిశ్చ
యినికారిఖుబర్పనేయమూదల్లిటిదివసబందుదీళికొళ్ళక్కేనె
కాలవులంచినమీపినిఇరువగరిందజాగ్రశేఇక్కేశ్వరపడిసికొండెబిగొగ
ప్రాణిసికొళ్ళక్కేనె మహాస్వామి

మైసూరు కేబ్బుంగొచ్చిశరీరు

15

T.B.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 2300

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Office)
, *the* 19 .

To

The D.C. Trincomalee

Date of issue 15-2-11

Signed by for S. Arunachalam

In sending his atten-
tion to this Office memorandum
no. J 1136 - Police 57-10-2 dated
the 3rd October 1910,
calling for a report on
the petition of Sabbanmuthu
& others of Sandakeddy regard-
ing the celebration of the
Kanna feast, has the honour
to request that the submissi
of the report called for may

over.

SUBJECT.

be expedited. as the fault

is approaching.

By Gen

SA

For Secretary

BS.
39-9-11-SK(W)

10/2

16

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by SM

GPB—20,000—12-8-10

17

No. 270/42 Re Land dispute Hyata.

District Magistrate's Office,

2183

Shimoga, 23rd February 1911.

3.204

From.

K.Srinivasa Row,Esq,

District Magistrate,

Shimoga.

To.

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer,Esq,B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 115 P. 59-10-2 dated 3rd October 1910, forwarding for report the petition of appeal from Subbannachar and others of Santebennur Channagiri Taluk against the order of my predecessor permitting the Lingayats to set up Kama and to take the image in procession and your letter No. J815/ P.1-08 dated 14th September 1910 I have the honor to forward herewith the entire records connected with the case together with copy of -- letter No. 8/6 dated 30th June 1910 to you from my predecessor giving the full facts of the case.

2. As to how it happned that the Police constable who was entrusted with the records did not deliver them to those for whom they were intended and what explanation has been -- offered &c the Superintendent of Police Shiomga District was requested to send up the connected records and several reminders were also issued. The papers are said to be --- missing and the search made therefor is fruitless. The Superintendent of Police has again been written to on the subject and his reply will be duly communicated immediately on its receip

3. The petition of appeal received with the memorandum above referred to is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,

Shimoga.

19

No. 8/6 Office of the District Magistrate,

Shimoga, 30th June 1910.

From.

M.Narain Rao,Esq.,

District Magistrate,

Shimoga.

To.

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Sir,

In reply to your official memorandum No. 3398 J/ Pol 1-08 dated 4th May 1909 and your D.O. dated 10th June 1909, No. 3827/ Pol-I- 08, I have the honor to submit herewith the records connected with the license issued by Mr. Ramaswamieng Amildar of Channagiri Taluk to the Lingayats of Santebennur, to keep andworship Kama during the year 1909 and take it in procession and to state as follows :-

1. For the first time in the year 1909,the Lingayats applied for a licgense to keep a Kama and take it in process The Amildar granted the application on certain conditions -- which were calculated to prevent a breach of the peace -- between the Lingayats and the Brahamins of the Place. The contention of the Brahamins that the Lingayats are not entitled to keep a Kama is opposed to the spirit of the --- orders contained in Government Proceedings No. 2017-26/ J.F. 158-4 dated 25th January 1905 and is therefore untenable. Here I beg to refer to the orders passed by me on 21st March 1910 in Criminal Miscellaneous application No. 42 of 1908-09 and on the petition of Nadig Ranga Rao and others -

71 X

(Copies enclosed for ready reference).

2. The action of the Amildar in granting the license t the Lingayats inspite of the objection raised by the Braha min community has been the sole cause of the numerous annoymous petitions sent against him - There is no doubt that the petitions are written by one of the Brahamins but the author

cannot be traced. The allegations made in the petitions of bribes having been paid to the Amildar, Police Inspector Police Jamadar and Police Daffadar are all false. I have made private enquiries in the matter during the last Jamabandi but have not been able to get any information to ~~substitute~~ substantiate any of these --- allegations.

4. The original records forming the enclosures to the petition under reference must have been got by the author of the petition from the Police constable who carried it back from the Amildar's camp at Devarhally. The constable concerned has been duly punished.

5. The Police Inspector Seshachar has since been transferred from Channagiri to Honnali.

6. The writer of the petition assumes the name of --- Srinivasia of Basvapatna but there is no one answering to -- that name residing in the village.

7. There is no doubt that the Amildar Mr. Ramaswany Iyengar is not very popular with the Brahmin Section of the inhabitants of Santebannur and the feeling against him is --- accentuated by the fact of his residing in a house which -- belongs to a Lingayat resident of Channagiri, Nadiga Bala --- Basappa whose name appears in one of the petitions under report His transfer from the taluk was considered desirable and I was about to recommend it when orders of his retirement from September next were received. The transfer was therefore not pressed.

8. The delay in submitting this report was due to a desire on my part to make a personal searching enquiryafter halting at Santebannur and surrounding villages- This I was able to do during the last Jamabandi.

I have &c

Sd/- M.Narain Rao.

District Magistrate,
Shimoga.

"COPY".

vrs. District Magistrate.

DIARY No 1226
10-10-12
SECTION Police
FILE No 57-10
G. REG. No 5

ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಂಧಿ ಜಾತಿಯವರು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಸಾರಾಯಿ ಮಾರುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯ

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೆ, —

ಮೈಸೂರು ಅರಮನೆಯಿಂದ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಸಿಂಧಿ ಜಾತಿಯವರು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಸಾರಾಯಿ ಮಾರುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 18 2 1912.

ಸಿಂಧಿ ಜಾತಿಯವರು ಸಾರಾಯಿ ಮಾರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

2862/15

X 22

...R.S. 26]

Police
File No. 37-1
Serial No. - 6

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 3366 Encl 1 on file

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Police)
, the 19 .

To

The A.C. Trincomalee

Has the honour to return herewith the records received with his letter No. 270-42 dated the 23rd February 1916

By Order

for Secretary

Date of issue 14-5-12

Signed by Mr S. Hiriyannaiya

B.S. 8/11
9/7/12

over.

SUBJECT.

14/5